Table III.

## Remedial Action Alternatives Identified for Evaluation for the Cleanup Action Plan

Remedial alternatives evaluated for soil are as follows:

1	Intrinsic bioremediation (monitored natural attenuation)
2	Low-permeability cap
3	Excavation and landfill disposal
4	Excavation and volatilization treatment
5	Excavation and enhanced bioremediation
6	Excavation and thermal treatment
7	Excavation and soil washing
8	Excavation and chemical treatment
9	<i>In-situ</i> soil vapor extraction
10	<i>In-situ</i> enhanced bioremediation
11	<i>In-situ</i> re-circulating enhanced bioremediation wells
12	<i>In-situ</i> soil flushing
13	<i>In-situ</i> thermally enhanced sparging
14	<i>In-situ</i> chemical treatment

Remedial action alternative evaluated for saturated soil, groundwater and surface water are as follows:

1	Intrinsic bioremediation (monitored natural attenuation)
2	Institutional controls and groundwater monitoring
3	Containment – vertical barriers
4	Groundwater recovery and treatment using vertical wells
5	Groundwater recovery and treatment using horizontal well(s)
6	Groundwater recovery and treatment using trench(es)
7	Dual phase extraction
8	Biological treatment using an oxygen releasing compound
9	<i>In-situ</i> air sparging – vertical wells
10	<i>In-situ</i> air sparging – horizontal wells
11	<i>In-situ</i> hot water flushing
12	<i>In-situ</i> steam flushing
13	<i>In-situ</i> passive treatment – reactive walls
14	<i>In-situ</i> chemical treatment

Table IV

Comparison of Station Area Alternatives in Meeting MTCA Requirements

Comparative Criteria	Soil Vapor Extraction Air Sparging with SVE		
A. Protection of Human Health & the Environment	$O_1$	$X^2$	
B. Compliance of ARARs	O	X	
C. Compliance Monitoring	X	X	
D. Permanence			
1. Overall	X	X	
2. Short-term	X	X	
3. Long-term	X	X	
4. Treatment Preference	X	X	
5. Cost	X	X	
6. Community Concern	0	X	
E. Restoration Time Frame	O	X	
F. Public Concern <sup>3</sup>	X	X	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>O = Does not meet MTCA requirements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>X = Meets MTCA Requirements

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment on the draft CAP as described in WAC 173-340-600.

 $\label{eq:comparison} \textbf{Table V}$  Comparison of Plume Alternatives in Meeting MTCA Requirements

Comparative Criteria Air		Intrinic		Enhanced	In-situ
		Bioremediation	Sparging	oremediaiton	
A.	Protection of Human Health & the Environment	$\mathbf{O}^1$	O	$X^2$	
В.	Compliance of ARARs	O	X	X	
C.	Compliance Monitoring	X	X	X	
D.	Permanence				
	1. Overall	X	X	X	
	2. Short-term	X	X	X	
	3. Long-term	X	X	X	
	4. Treatment Preference	X	O	O	
	5. Cost	X	X	O	
	6. Community Concern	O	X	X	
E.	Restoration Time Frame	O	O	X	
F.	Public Concern <sup>3</sup>	X	X	X	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>O = Does not meet MTCA requirements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>X = Meets MTCA Requirements

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment on the draft CAP as described in WAC 173-340-600.

Table VI

Comparison of Distal End of Plume Alternatives in Meeting MTCA Requirements

Comparative Criteria		GW <sup>1</sup> Recovery Recovery	GW Recovery	GW
	& Treatment w/	& Treatment w/ Vertical Wells	& Treatment w/ Horizontal Well	Trench
A.	Protection of Human Health & the Environment	$X^2$	X	X
В	Compliance of ARARs	X	X	X
C.	Compliance Monitoring	X	X	X
D.	Permanence			
	1. Overall	X	X	X
	2. Short-term	X	X	X
	3. Long-term	X	X	X
	4. Treatment Preference	X	X	X
	5. Cost	X	X	X
	6. Community Concern	X	X	X
E.	Restoration Time Frame	X	X	X
F.	Public Concern <sup>3</sup>	X	X	X

 $<sup>{}^{1}</sup>GW = groundwater$ 

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>X = Does comply with MTCA requirements.$ 

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Ecology will provide public notice and opportunity for comment on the draft CAP as described in WAC 173-340-600.

Table VII.

Cost Summary by Remedial Alternative

Station Area	Capital	O&M	Cost of Alternative
Soil Vapor Extraction	\$76,700	\$95,700	\$172,400.00
Air Sparging with SVE	\$97,500	\$113,300	\$210,800.00
Plume			
Monitored Intrinsic Bioremediation	\$3,200	\$4,000 (1)	\$7,200.00
Oxygen Releasing Compound	\$502,590	\$1,000 (2)	\$503,590.00
In-situ Air Sparging using Vertical Wells	\$102,700	\$129,300 (3)	\$232,000.00
Distal End of Plume			
Groundwater Recovery and Treatment-			
Vertical Wells	\$96,500	\$332,000	\$428,500.00
Groundwater Recovery and Treatment –			
Horizontal Wells	\$123,800	\$282,000	\$405,800.00
Groundwater Recovery and Treatment – Recovery Trench	\$73,300	\$282,000	\$355,300.00

Table VIII.

Proposed Project Cost

	Capital	O&M	Total Cost
Monitored Intrinsic Bioremediation	\$3,200	\$4,000	\$7,200
Groundwater Recovery and Treatment –			
Vertical Wells	\$96,500	\$332,000	\$428,500.00
Air Sparging with SVE	\$97,500	\$113,300	\$210,800.00
Sum of Costs	\$197,200.00	\$449,300.00	\$646,500.00